

The antisymmetric tensor propagator in AdS

Iosif Bena

University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

iosif@physics.ucsb.edu

(February 7, 2008)

Abstract

In this brief note we construct the propagator for the antisymmetric tensor in AdS_{d+1} . We check our result using the Poincaré duality between the antisymmetric tensor and the gauge boson in AdS_5 . This propagator was needed for a computation which turned out to be too hard. It can be used for computing various other things in AdS .

I. INTRODUCTION

In [1,2], a lot of effort was put into finding the AdS propagators for the graviton and the gauge boson. Their methods can be used straightforwardly for the $B_{\mu\nu}$ propagators. An ansatz can be made for bitensor propagators [3]. This ansatz contains both gauge artifacts and gauge invariant parts. Upon using the equation of motion for $B_{\mu\nu}$ we obtain an equation for the gauge invariant part of the propagator, whose solution is hypergeometric. For $d=5$ it simplifies to an algebraic function of the chordal distance. As explained in [1], working on the subspace of conserved sources makes gauge fixing unnecessary. We check our result by verifying the 5-dimensional Poincaré duality between A_μ and $B_{\mu\nu}$.

II. THE $B_{\mu\nu}$ PROPAGATOR

In Euclidean AdS_{d+1} , with the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z_0^2}(dz_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d dz_i^2), \quad (1)$$

the easiest way to express invariant functions and tensors is in terms of the chordal distance:

$$u \equiv \frac{(z_0 - w_0)^2 + (z_i - w_i)^2}{2z_0 w_0}. \quad (2)$$

The action for an antisymmetric 2-tensor coupled to a conserved source $S_{\mu\nu}$ is:

$$S_B = \int d^{d+1}z \sqrt{g} [\frac{1}{2 \cdot 3!} H^{\mu\nu\rho} H_{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2} B_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu}], \quad (3)$$

where

$$H_{\mu\nu\rho} = D_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + D_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + D_\rho B_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4)$$

The Euler Lagrange equation has a solution of the form:

$$B_{\mu\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{d+1}w \sqrt{g} G_{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'}(z, w) S^{\mu'\nu'}(w), \quad (5)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'}$ is the bitensor propagator. To simplify notation, the D 's with unprimed indices mean covariant derivatives with respect to z , and those with primed indices with respect to w . The equation $G_{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'}$ satisfies is:

$$\begin{aligned} D^\rho (D_\mu G_{\nu\rho;\mu'\nu'} + D_\nu G_{\rho\mu;\mu'\nu'} + D_\rho G_{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'}) = & -\delta(z, w)(g_{\mu\mu'} g_{\nu\nu'} - g_{\mu\nu'} g_{\nu\mu'}) + \\ & + D_{\mu'} \Lambda_{\mu\nu;\nu'} - D_{\nu'} \Lambda_{\mu\nu;\mu'}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\Lambda_{\mu\nu;\nu'}$ is a diffeomorphism whose contribution vanishes when integrated against the covariantly conserved source $S^{\mu\nu}$. We can see that all of our bitensors are antisymmetric at both points.

Similarly to the methods in [1] we observe that a suitable basis for antisymmetric bitensors is given by:

$$T_{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'}^1 = \partial_\mu \partial_{\mu'} u \partial_\nu \partial_{\nu'} u - \partial_\mu \partial_{\nu'} u \partial_\nu \partial_{\mu'} u \quad (7)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'}^2 = \partial_\mu \partial_{\mu'} u \partial_\nu u \partial_{\nu'} u - \partial_\mu \partial_{\nu'} u \partial_\nu u \partial_{\mu'} u - \partial_\nu \partial_{\mu'} u \partial_\mu u \partial_{\nu'} u + \partial_\nu \partial_{\nu'} u \partial_\mu u \partial_{\mu'} u.$$

Thus, an ansatz for G is $G = T^1 F^1(u) + T^2 F^2(u)$. Nonetheless, we use a different decomposition, which illustrates better the gauge artifacts

$$G_{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'} = T_{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'}^1 H(u) + D_\mu V_{\nu;\mu'\nu'} - D_\nu V_{\mu;\mu'\nu'}, \quad (8)$$

where $V_{\mu;\mu'\nu'} = Y(u)[\partial_\mu \partial_{\mu'} u \partial_{\nu'} u - \partial_\mu \partial_{\nu'} u \partial_{\mu'} u]$. Also, an antisymmetric $\Lambda_{\mu\nu;\nu'}$ can be expressed as

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu;\nu'} = A(u)[\partial_\nu \partial_{\nu'} u \partial_\mu u - \partial_\mu \partial_{\nu'} u \partial_\nu u]. \quad (10)$$

We can now substitute (8) and (10) in (6), and after a long computation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & D^\rho (D_\mu G_{\nu\rho;\mu'\nu'} + D_\nu G_{\rho\mu;\mu'\nu'} + D_\rho G_{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'}) - D_{\mu'} \Lambda_{\mu\nu;\nu'} + D_{\nu'} \Lambda_{\mu\nu;\mu'} = \\ & = T^1 [H'' u(u+2) + H'(1+u)(d-1) - 2A] - T^2 [H''(1+u) + H'(d-1) + A']. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

For $z \neq w$, we obtain 2 equations by setting the scalar coefficients of the two tensors to 0. We can observe that the $V_{\mu;\mu'\nu'}$ part which was a gauge artifact dropped out as expected. Thus, for $u \neq 0$ we have the equations:

$$H'' u(u+2) + H'(1+u)(d-1) - 2A = 0 \quad (12a)$$

$$H''(1+u) + H'(d-1) + A' = 0. \quad (12b)$$

The second equation can be integrated once, with the integration constant chosen so that A and H vanish as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Combining this with (12a) we find the differential equation obeyed by H :

$$u(2+u)H''(u) + (d+1)(u+1)H'(u) + 2(d-2)H = 0. \quad (13)$$

This equation is hypergeometric, but the solution which vanishes as $u \rightarrow \infty$ is rational:

$$H(u) = \frac{\Gamma((d-1)/2)}{4\pi^{(d+1)/2}} \frac{u+1}{[u(u+2)]^{(d-1)/2}}, \quad (14)$$

properly normalized to take care of the δ function in (6).

III. POINCARÉ DUALITY

In 5 dimensions a 2-form is Poincaré dual with a gauge boson, by the relation:

$$H_{\mu\nu\rho} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} = 3! F^{\sigma\lambda} \quad (15)$$

Therefore, we expect:

$$\langle F^{\sigma\lambda}(z) F^{\sigma'\lambda'}(w) \rangle = \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} \epsilon^{\mu'\nu'\rho'\sigma'\lambda'} \langle H_{\mu\nu\rho}(z) H_{\mu'\nu'\rho'}(w) \rangle. \quad (16)$$

Checking (16) is a verification that our result is true. We use the fact that

$$\langle B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu'\nu'} \rangle = G_{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\langle A_\mu A_{\mu'} \rangle = G_{\mu;\mu'}, \quad (18)$$

where the second propagator was found in [2]. We could check the tensor equality (16) term by term, but it is messy. We rather observe that the right hand side of (16) is a bitensor antisymmetric at both ends, and thus it will have the structure

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}\epsilon^{\mu'\nu'\rho'\sigma'\lambda'}\langle H_{\mu\nu\rho}(z)H_{\mu'\nu'\rho'}(w)\rangle = F_1(u)T_1^{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'} + F_2(u)T_2^{\mu\nu;\mu'\nu'}. \quad (19)$$

Concentrating on the components of $\langle F^{z_0 z_i}(z)F^{z'_0 z'_j}(w)\rangle$ we obtain:

$$2F_1 + F_2(1+u) = H'', \quad (20a)$$

$$F_2(1+u)^2 + F_2 + 2F_1(1+u) = 2H''(1+u) + 3H', \quad (20b)$$

which give the same F_1 and F_2 as the ones obtained from the gauge propagator derived in [2].

IV. CONCLUSION

We computed the propagator for $B_{\mu\nu}$ in AdS_{d+1} and checked our result by using Poincaré duality for $d = 4$. This propagator can be used for computing various quantities having to do with $B_{\mu\nu}$ charged objects (like strings or D-branes with electric flux) in AdS . The propagators for higher form fields can also be found by using Poincaré duality [4] or by explicit calculation [5].

Acknowledgements: I'd like to acknowledge useful conversations with Joe Polchinski, Gary Horowitz and Veronika Hubeny. This work was supported in part by NSF grant PHY97-22022.

APPENDIX A: SEVERAL USEFUL IDENTITIES INVOLVING THE CHORDAL DISTANCE

In the computations the following identities were useful:

$$\partial_\mu \partial_{\nu'} u = \frac{-1}{z_0 w_0} [\delta_{\mu\nu'} + \frac{(z-w)_\mu \delta_{\nu'0}}{w_0} + \frac{(w-z)'_{\nu'} \delta_{\mu 0}}{z_0} - u \delta_{\mu 0} \delta_{\nu' 0}] \quad (A1)$$

$$\partial_\mu u = \frac{1}{z_0} [(z-w)_\mu / w_0 - u \delta_{\mu 0}] \quad (A2)$$

$$\partial_{\nu'} u = \frac{1}{w_0} [(w-z)_{\nu'} / z_0 - u \delta_{\nu' 0}] \quad (A3)$$

$$D^\mu \partial_\mu u = (d+1)(u+1) \quad (A4)$$

$$\partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u = u(u+2) \quad (A5)$$

$$D_\mu \partial_\nu u = g_{\mu\nu}(u+1) \quad (A6)$$

$$(\partial^\mu u)(D_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_{\nu'} u) = \partial_\nu u \partial_{\nu'} u \quad (A7)$$

$$(\partial^\mu u)(\partial_\mu \partial_{\nu'}) u = (u+1) \partial_{\nu'} u \quad (A8)$$

$$D_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_{\nu'} u = g_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu'} u \quad (A9)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Eric D'Hoker, Daniel Z. Freedman, Samir D. Mathur, Alec Matusis, Leonardo Rastelli, Nucl.Phys. B562 (1999) 330-352; hep-th/9902042
- [2] Eric D'Hoker, Daniel Z. Freedman, Nucl.Phys. B544 (1999) 612-632; hep-th/9809179
- [3] B. Allen and T. Jacobson, Commun. Math. Phys. **103** (1986) 669.
- [4] Iosif Bena; hep-th/9911073
- [5] Asad Naqvi, JHEP 9912 (1999) 025; hep-th/9911182